IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH.

C.W.P. No. 13307 of 2005

Date of Decision: December 21, 2006

Ratika and another

…..Petitioners

Vs.

Ch. Devi Lal University, Sirsa and another

…..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondents.

-.-

VINEY MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents informs the Court that petitioner No.2-Manju Bala has already resigned, and therefore, the writ petition qua her has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the writ petition qua petitioner No.2, is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the respondent University further states that a regular selection process has already been initiated by the respondents and it has also been decided by the University that the services of petitioner No.1 shall not be dispensed with till the completion of the present academic session i.e. 2006-2007 or till the new selectees join, whichever is earlier. Mr. Gupta further states that the University has also decided that no fresh contract/ guest employee shall be taken in place of the present petitioner No.1. The aforesaid statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents fully satisfies Mr.R.K. Malik, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

In view of the aforesaid fact, the writ petition qua petitioner No.1 is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINEY MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 21, 2006
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE